US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

Shaughnessy No.:122804

Date Out of EAB: JUN 0.6 1986

To: G. LaRocca Product Manager 15
Registration Division (TS-767)
From: Samuel M. Creeger, Chief Review Section #1 Exposure Assessment Branch Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769)
Attached, please find the EAB review of
Reg./File # : 619-96
Chemical Name: Avermectin
Type Product : Insecticide
Product Name : AVID
Company Name : Merck
Purpose : Registration on flower crop
Date Received: 05/19/86 Action Code(s): 300
Date Completed: JUN 0 6 1986 EAB #(s): 6643
days: 0.25
Deferrals to: Ecological Effects Branch
Residue Chemistry Branch
Toxicology Branch

Monitoring study requested by EAB:

Monitering study voluntarily conducted by registrant: ///

CHEMICAL: 1.a

Avermectin Bja Abamectin. AVID™

See chemical structure in earlier EAB review of 9/05/85 and previous reviews.

The active ingredient is composed of not less than 80% avermectin $\mathrm{B}_{1}\mathrm{a}$ and not more than 20% avermectin B1b.

Physical Properties: 1.b

See earlier reports.

TEST MATERIAL: Not Applicable 2.

STUDY/ACTION TYPE: 3.

Response to EAB request for additional information with regard to the field dissipation study on AVID™.

STUDY IDENTIFICATION: Field Dissipation. 4.

REVIEWED BY: 5.

Akiva D. Abramovitch, Ph.D. Environmental Chemistry Review Section 1/EAB/HED/OPP Chemist

JUN 06 1986

APPROVED BY: 6.

Environmental Chemistry Review Section 1/EAB/HED/OPP JUN 0 6 1986 Date:

The study is accepted in fulfillment of the field dissipation data requirement for AVID™ by submission of the soil CONCLUSIONS: 7. EAB request for submission of characteristics (attached). half life calculation remained unanswered and to avoid further delays the estimated half life of 3 days (EAB review of March 18, 1986) will be used.

RECOMMENDATIONS: 8.

Acceptance of the field dissipation study fulfilled the EAB data requirements for <u>registration</u> for AVID™ for use in flower crops and foliage plants.

BACKGROUND: 9.

- Introduction: See EAB review of March 18, 1986. Α.
- As in A, above. Directions for Use: В.

- 10. DISCUSSION OF INDIVIDUAL TESTS OR STUDIES:
- 10.1 A. Study Identification: Abamectin Soil Dissipation in Flower Crop.
 - B. Materials and Methods:

The properties of the soil was submitted as requested in the EAB review of 3/18/86.

C. Reported Results: N/A

See EAB review of 3/18/86.

- D. Study Author's Conclusions: N/A See EAB review of 3/18/86.
- E. Reviewer's Discussions and Interpretation of Study Results: N/A See EAB review of 3/18/86.
- 11. COMPLETION OF ONE LINER:

Not completed.

12. CBI APPENDIX:

None

Abamectin Soil Dissipation in Flower Crops

Report No. 001-84-008R (Addendum - Soil Composition)

Study submitted 8/14/85 in Application for Registration of AVID TM . Section B Vol II, pages 1-41.

The soil used in this test is classified as St. Lucie fine sand (aged beach sand) which characteristically contains less than 0.5% organic matter. The soil at the test site was amended with German peat in 1985 which raised the organic matter to 1.75%. The pH of the soil was maintained by using dolomitic limestone at 1000 lbs per acre each year.

St. Lucie sand contains no clay and for all practical purposes no silt. The low organic fraction is primarily from the German peat moss used to amend the soil.

Approved by:

Louis S. Gresso, Ph.D.

Director, Regulatory Affairs

Agricultural Research and Development

Richard A. Dybas, Ph.D.

Senior Director

Agricultural Research

and Development

May 15, 1986

Merck Sharp & Dohme Research Laboratories Hillsborough Road Three Bridges, New Jersey 08887